

Richard A. Menchini (6057)  
Lindsay H. Tasher  
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP  
195 Broadway  
New York, NY 10007  
(212) 513-3200

Attorneys for Defendant  
Canadian Commercial Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ERNESTO and DIANA MIRANDA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ABEX CORPORATION, f/k/a American  
Brake Show Company, et al.,

Defendants.

08 Civ. 4231 (RS)

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT  
CANADIAN COMMERCIAL  
CORPORATION

Defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation (hereinafter "CCC"), by its counsel, Holland & Knight LLP, as and for its Answer to the Complaint dated March 21, 2008, states as follows:

1. Answering paragraph 1, which repeats and re-alleges "New York Asbestos Litigation Complaint No. 1", CCC responds as follows: to the extent that the allegations set forth therein are directed to CCC, CCC denies those allegations; to the extent that the allegations set forth therein are directed to persons or entities other than CCC, CCC denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to those allegations.

2. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 2 and 3.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

3. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted as against CCC.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

4. Insofar as Plaintiffs fail to identify the manufacturer of the product that allegedly caused the damage, loss, or injury complained of, the Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted as against CCC.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

5. The damages and injuries alleged in this lawsuit were not caused or contributed to by any product designed, manufactured, inspected, packaged, labeled, sold, or distributed by CCC.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

6. The Court should not permit this action to proceed in the absence of one or more persons or entities who should be a party to this action.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A FIFTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

7. This action is barred by the statute of limitations and/or the equitable doctrine of laches and/or because Plaintiffs failed to file such claims or causes of action within the time period prescribed by other applicable statutes, rules and orders.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

8. Plaintiffs' claims for any damage, loss, or injury are barred or diminished by, and are subject to, the doctrine of collateral source.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A SEVENTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

9. Plaintiffs' claims in this Complaint are barred in whole or in part by the entire controversy doctrine.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A EIGHTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

10. CCC owed no duty to Plaintiffs.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A NINTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

11. While CCC asserts that it owed no duty to the Plaintiffs, in the event that it is ultimately determined that CCC did owe a duty to the Plaintiffs, CCC acted reasonably and with due care toward Plaintiffs, and CCC did not violate any duty owed to Plaintiffs.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TENTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

12. Denying any act or omission for which it can be held liable in this action, CCC asserts that Plaintiffs' injuries, if any, were caused in whole or in part by the assumption of risk, negligence, and/or lack of due care on the part of Plaintiffs, without any negligence or culpability on the part of CCC, and CCC seeks a dismissal or reduction in recovery that may be had by plaintiff in the proportion in which the culpable conduct attributable to Plaintiffs bears to the entire measure of responsibility for the occurrence.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH, SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

13. Any damage, loss, or injury allegedly incurred by Plaintiffs was not caused by any act or omission of CCC but by the independent intervening or superseding acts or omissions of other persons or entitles over whom CCC has never had any control and for whom CCC cannot be held accountable.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWELFTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

14. The injuries of Plaintiffs, if any, were caused in whole or in part by the negligence or culpability and lack of due care of third parties, without any negligence on the part of CCC, and CCC seeks dismissal or reduction in recovery that may be had by the Plaintiff in the proportion in which the culpable conduct attributable to the third parties bears to the entire measure of responsibility for the occurrence.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

15. Any damage, loss, or injury allegedly resulting from any product sold or distributed by CCC was proximately caused by substantial or material alteration or modification of the product after the product left the control of CCC.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

16. Any damage, loss, or injury complained of resulted from natural causes over which CCC has never had control and for which CCC is not liable.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A FIFTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

17. Any damage, loss, or injury complained of resulted from an Act of God.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

18. CCC could not and did not foresee the risks of any damage, loss, or injury complained of.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

19. Any product at issue in this lawsuit that was sold or distributed by CCC was designed, manufactured, inspected, packaged, labeled, sold, and distributed in accordance with the state of the art existing at the time of the design, manufacture, inspection, packaging, labeling, sale, and distribution of such product.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

20. Any product at issue in this lawsuit that was sold or distributed by CCC was designed, manufactured, inspected, packaged, labeled, sold, and distributed in compliance with all applicable codes, standards, and regulations established, adopted, promulgated, or approved by the United States, the State of New York, the City of New York, or any agency of the United States, the State of New York, or the City of New York.



FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A NINETEENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

21. Plaintiffs were, by knowledge, training, or experience, sophisticated in the use of, installation of, and performance of the products in question and knew or should have known of any of the purported risks associated therewith, and as a result, CCC had no duty to warn the Plaintiffs of any such alleged risk.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTIETH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

22. CCC asserts that each employer of Plaintiffs was a sophisticated person or entity knowledgeable of any material risks pertinent to the matters alleged in this lawsuit, and as a result, CCC had no duty to warn of any such risks.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

23. CCC did not breach any express or implied warranty in connection with the alleged sale or distribution of any product at issue in this lawsuit.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

24. With respect to any claim based on an alleged breach of warranty, CCC did not receive timely notice of any such alleged breach.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

25. CCC has no liability in strict liability in tort to Plaintiffs.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FOURTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

26. Denying any act or omission for which it can be held liable in this action, CCC asserts that any liability of CCC for any alleged damage, loss, or injury complained of should be limited pursuant to CPLR Art. 16.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIFTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

27. Denying any act or omission for which it can be held liable in this action, CCC asserts that any acts or omissions for which other defendants in this action might be held liable to Plaintiffs were separate and distinct from acts or omissions, if any, for which CCC might be held liable. Neither common law nor any federal or New York State statute can properly cause CCC to be jointly and severally liable for acts or omissions of other defendants, and any claims based on alleged joint and several liability are barred.



FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SIXTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

28. Plaintiffs are barred from recovery to the extent that Plaintiffs failed to mitigate their damages.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SEVENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

29. No theory of absolute liability, enterprise liability, market share liability, concerted action, or any other theory of alternative liability exists or is applicable under the laws of New York State and the facts of this case, and CCC denies liability under any such theory.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-EIGHTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

30. Plaintiffs' alleged injuries did not arise out of exposure to any product that CCC may have sold or distributed.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A TWENTY-NINTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

31. The damages allegedly sustained by the Plaintiffs which allegedly arose from any product which CCC may have sold or distributed were caused by the subsequent alteration, modification, faulty repair and/or improper maintenance of the product in question by one or more entities other than CCC, rather than by any

defect in the product's design, manufacture, production, assemblage, installation, testing, labeling, marketing, distribution, sale or inspection.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTIETH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

32. Any product which CCC may have sold or distributed was not defective or dangerous at any time when CCC was in possession, custody or control of the product.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FIRST SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

33. CCC is not liable for the damages allegedly sustained by the Plaintiffs because the Plaintiffs were not in privity of contract with CCC at any time and any product which CCC may have manufactured was not inherently or unreasonably dangerous as a matter of law or otherwise.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SECOND SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

34. Plaintiff did not directly or indirectly purchase any asbestos-containing products or materials from CCC nor did he receive or rely upon any representation allegedly made by CCC.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-THIRD SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

35. In the event it should be proven at the time of the trial of this action that all defendants are subject to market share liability, then CCC's share of such liability would be of such a de minimis amount as to make its contribution for damages negligible, and CCC would be entitled to contribution, either in whole or in part, from the other defendants in this action.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FOURTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

36. To the extent that Plaintiffs were exposed to any product containing asbestos which CCC may have sold or distributed, which CCC denies, said exposure was so minimal that it could not be a substantial contributing factor to any asbestos-related disease which Plaintiffs may have developed, and the results of such exposure are therefore: (i) not actionable against CCC either at law or in equity, and (ii) insufficient to establish a reasonable degree of probability that any product CCC may have manufactured or distributed is capable of causing injuries and damages and must be considered speculative as a matter of law.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FIFTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

37. CCC is entitled to set off any worker's compensation benefits and other collateral sources of recovery received or to be received by the Plaintiffs against any judgment which may be rendered in favor of the Plaintiffs herein.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SIXTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

38. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have released, settled, entered into an accord and satisfaction or otherwise compromised their claims herein, and, accordingly, said claims are barred by operation of law. Alternatively, Plaintiffs have accepted compensation as partial settlement of those claims for which CCC is entitled to a set-off.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SEVENTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

39. CCC asserts that it intends to rely upon other defenses which may be available or apparent at any time prior to the trial of this action, and CCC reserves the right to amend its answer to plead such defenses.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-EIGHTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

40. This Court lacks jurisdiction over CCC by reason of insufficient service of process and/or insufficient contacts with the State of New York.

FURTHER ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT  
AS AND FOR A THIRTY-NINTH SEPARATE, COMPLETE  
AND/OR PARTIAL DEFENSE THERETO CCC  
ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

41. CCC is a Crown corporation of, and wholly owned by, the Government of Canada. Accordingly, the suit against it is governed by the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1602, *et seq.* ("FSIA"). CCC asserts all protections and immunities afforded to it by the FSIA, including, but not limited to, the right to a non-jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation respectfully requests that this Court dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint, with prejudice, and enter judgment in favor of CCC for the costs of this action and for such other and further relief as to this Court seems just and appropriate under the circumstances.

ANSWER TO ALL CROSSCLAIMS

Defendant Canadian Commercial corporation denies any and all allegations in any crossclaim or other claim for contribution or indemnity that has been or may be asserted against it by any Co-Defendant. CCC reserves its rights to amend this answer to respond to claims of additional entities which may be or have been joined in this action.

AS AND FOR A CROSSCLAIM

If defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation should be found liable to plaintiffs at trial, said liability being expressly denied, any injuries and damages sustained by plaintiff were proximately caused by the negligence, lack of due care, culpable conduct, or breach of warranty of one or more of the co-defendants, or their contractors, agents or employees under their control, and said co-defendants is or are alone liable to plaintiffs, or jointly and/or severally liable to plaintiffs, and/or liable over to Canadian Commercial Corporation for contribution or indemnification.


WHEREFORE, defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation respectfully requests that this Court dismiss, with prejudice, all cross-claims and all other claims for contribution or indemnity which have been or may be asserted against it by Co-Defendants and enter judgment in favor of CCC for the costs of this action, or enter judgment in its favor and against one or more Co-Defendants for contribution or indemnity in the amount of any judgment which may be rendered against it, and for such other and further relief as to this Court seems just and appropriate under the circumstances.



Dated: May 9, 2008  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

By:   
Richard A. Menchini  
Lindsay H. Tasher  
195 Broadway  
New York, NY 10007  
(212) 513-3200  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Canadian Commercial Corporation

To: Patrick J. Timmins, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Ave., 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Co-defendants

# 5317787\_v1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ERNESTO and DIANA MIRANDA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ABEX CORPORATION, f/k/a American Brake  
Show Company, et al.,

Defendants.

08 Civ. 4231 (RJS)

**AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

State of New York     )  
                                   ) SS.:  
County of New York )

RICHARD A. MENCHINI, an attorney admitted to practice in this Court and a member of the firm of Holland & Knight LLP, affirms under penalty of perjury:

That on May 9, 2008, I caused to be served a true copy of the attached Answer of Defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation, by mailing the same in a duly enclosed prepaid and sealed wrapper with first-class postage prepaid thereon and addressed to plaintiffs' counsel Patrick J Timmins, Esq., Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP, 800 Third Ave. -- 13<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, NY 10022, and the defense counsel and defendants on the attached Lists.

  
RICHARD A. MENCHINI

Dated: May 9, 2008  
New York, New York

**Miranda Defense Counsel List**

115237.00002

(as of 5/6/08)

Jan Michael Ryfkogel, Esq. Bivona & Cohen, PC 88 Pine St. New York, NY 10005	Counsel for Alcoa
Theodore Eder, Esq. Segal, McCambridge, Singer & Mahoney 830 Third Avenue, Suite 400 New York, NY 10022	Counsel for Anchor Packing Garlock Sealing Technologies Flowserve (Durametallic)
Julie Evans, Esq. Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 150 E. 42nd St. New York, NY 10017-5639	Counsel for A.W. Chesterton Co. Carrier Corp.
Joseph A. D'Avanzo, Esq. Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 3 Gannett Drive White Plains, NY 10604	Counsel for The Boeing Company
Anna DiLonardo, Esq. Weiner Lesniak, LLP 888 Veteran's Memorial Highway Suite 540 Hauppauge, NY 11787	Counsel for Borg Warner Lockheed Robert A. Keasby
Richard Leff, Esq. McGivney & Kluger, PC 80 Broad St., 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor New York, NY 10004	Counsel for Courter & Company Treadwell Corp.
Kirsten Kneis, Esq. Michael Waller, Esq. Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis One Newark Center, 10th Floor Newark, NJ 07102	Counsel for Crane Co.
William Mueller, Esq. Clemente, Dickson & Mueller 218 Ridgedale Ave. Morristown, NJ 07961	Counsel for Durabla Manufacturing

Scott R. Emery, Esq. Lynch Daskal & Emery, LLP 264 W. 40 <sup>th</sup> St. New York, NY 10018	Counsel for Georgia Pacific Goodyear Tire & Rubber
Laura B. Hollman, Esq. McMahon Martine & Gallagher, LLP 90 Broad Street New York, NY 10004	Counsel for Eastern Refractories Co.
Nancy McDonald, Esq. McElroy, Deutch & Mulvaney 1300 Mt. Kemble Avenue P.O. Box 2075 Morristown, NJ 07962-2075	Counsel for Cutler-Hammer Eaton
Robert J. Cecala, Esq. Aaronson Rappaport Feinstein & Deutsch LLP 757 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017	Counsel for General Motors Corp.
Robert M. Gilmartin, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, PC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102	Counsel for Foster Wheeler General Electric
John J. Fanning, Esq. Cullen & Dykman, LLP 177 Montague St. Brooklyn, NY 11201	Counsel for Goulds Pumps, Inc
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq. McDermott, Will & Emery 340 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10173-1922	Counsel for Honeywell International
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. Pehlivanian, Braaten & Pascarella, LLC Paynters Ridge Office Park 2430 Route 34 Manasquan, NJ 08736	Counsel for Ingersoll-Rand
Cynthia Weiss Antonucci, Esq. Harris Beach PLLC 100 Wall Street New York, NY 10005	Counsel for Kentile Floors

William Bradley, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038	Counsel for J.H. Refractories
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq. BARRY McTIERNAN & MOORE 2 Rector St., 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10005	Counsel for John Crane
James Smith, Esq. Smith Abbott 48 Wall St., Suite 1100 New York, NY 10005	Counsel for Pneumo Abex
Daniel Moretti, Esq. Landman Corsi Ballaine & Ford 120 Broadway, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10271	Counsel for Sequoia Ventures
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq. Lavin, O'Neil, Ricci, Cedrone & Disipio 420 Lexington Ave., Suite 2900 New York, NY 10017	Counsel for 3M

# 5317047\_v1

**DEFENDANTS SERVICE LIST**  
**MIRANDA V. ABEX CORPORATION, ET AL.**

American Refractories Co. 1250 Clarion Street Reading, PA 19601	Atlas Turner, Inc. 854 Blvd. Oullet West Thetford Mines, Quebec G6G785
Avocet Enterprises, Inc. c/o SCN & R Registered Agent, Inc. 8000 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606	Boeing Integrated Defense Systems 2201 Seal Beach Boulevard Seal Beach, CA 90740
Bondex, Inc. 2 Maxwell Drive Trenton, SC 29847	CFM International, Inc. 1 Neuman Way Cincinnati, OH 45215
Crane Aerospace & Electronics Keltec Operation 84 Hill Avenue NW Fort Walton Beach, FL 32254	Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc. 420 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street Piqua, OH 45356
Crane Resistoflex Aerospace 2575 W. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street Jacksonville, FL 32254	Dana Corporation P.O. Box 1000 Toledo, OH 43697
Dresser, Inc. 15455 Dallas Parkway Addison, TX 75001	Eaton Hydraulics, Inc. 111 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10011
Enpro Industries, Inc. c/o CT Corporation Systems 225 Hillborough Street Raleigh, NC 27603	Fay Spoffard & Thorndike of New York, Inc. f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc. 111 John Street, Suite 245 New York, NY 10035
GE Aviation Systems LLC c/o Corporation System 111 Eight Avenue New York, NY 10011	General Dynamics 3190 Fairview Park Drive Falls Church, VA 22042
General Refractories Co. 225 City Avenue, Suite 114 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004	Goodrich Corporation c/o Corporation Service Company 80 State Street Albany, New York 12207-2543



Graybar Electric Company, Inc. 34 N. Meramec Avenue St. Louis, MO 53105	Grimes Aerospace Company 550 Route 55 Urban, Ohio 43078
Henkel Corporation CT Corporation System 111 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10011	Hercules Chemical Company, Inc. 111 South Street Passaic, NJ 07055
Hewlett Packard Company P.O. Box 10301 Palo Alto, CA 94303	Hitco Carbon and Composites, Inc. 1600W 135 <sup>th</sup> Street Gardena, CA 90249
Lear Seigler Services, Inc. 3 Corbett Way Eaton, NJ 07724	Leslie Controls, Inc. 12501 Telecom Drive Tampa, FL 33637
Metropolitan Transportation Authority 347 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017	Munaco Packing & Rubber Co., Inc. 325 West 16 <sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10011
Nasco Air Brakes, Inc. 13300 Estrella Avenue Gardena, California 90248	Northrop Gruman Corporation c/o CT Corporation System 111 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10011
Old Orchard Corporation 208 SO LaSalle Street, Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604	Parker Aerospace 14300 Alton Parkway Irvine, CA 92618
Parker Hannafin Corporation 6035 Park Boulevard Cleveland, OH 44124	Pratt & Whitney 400 Main Street East Hartford, CT 06108
Railroad Friction Products Corp. 1001 Air Brake Avenue Wilmerding, PA 15148	Railroad Friction Products Corp. c/o The Trust Corporation Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801
Rheaco, Inc. 1801 West Jefferson Street Grand Prairie, TX 75051	Rolls Royce Corporation c/o CT Corporation Service Company 80 State Street Albany, NY 12207

The Fairchild Corporation 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1400 McLean, VA 11102	Triad International Maintenance Corp. 523 Radar Road Greensboro, NC 27410
Unisys Corporation Unisys Way Blue Bell, PA 19424	United Technologies Corporation United Technologies Building Hartford, CT 06101
Wayne Wire Cloth Products, Inc. 200 E. Dresden Street NW Kalkaska, MI 49646	Westinghouse Air Brake Co. c/o CT Corporation Service Company 80 State Street Albany, NY 12207-2543

# 5311391\_v3